

# Seminar of digital democracy • session #7



**How are you doing?**  
...and your projects?



# Programme

---

Session	Theme	Date	Place
✓ <del>Session #1</del>	<b>Introduction</b>	22 September 14:00	LECL80
✓ <del>Session #2</del>	<b>Social media politics</b>	13 October 14:00	LECL80
✓ <del>Session #3</del>	<b>Algorithms and filter bubbles</b>	27 October 14:00	LECL80
✓ <del>Session #4</del>	<b>Digital divide and online tools to shape political opinions</b>	10 November 14:00	LECL80
✓ <del>Session #5</del>	<b>Civic tech and the digitalization of citizen participation</b>	24 November 14:00	LECL80
✓ <del>Session #6</del>	<b>Internet privacy and data collection</b>	8 December 14:00	LECL80
👉 <del>Session #7</del>	<b>Blockchain, web3 and decentralized governance</b>	22 December 14:00	LECL80

---

[seminarofdigitaldemocracy.net/programme](https://seminarofdigitaldemocracy.net/programme)



# Today's programme

- Individual projects: work session
- Blockchain, web3 and decentralized governance: discussion



# Individual projects

— *Collective work session*



# Evaluation criteria grid

---

#	Part	Criteria	Description	Marks
A	<b>Course dynamics</b>			<b>6</b>
A1		<i>attendance</i>	You attended and actively took part in all sessions.	3
A2		<i>resources shared and contributions</i>	You shared at least 3 resources for each session.	3

---



# Evaluation criteria grid

#	Part	Criteria	Description	Marks
B	<b>Individual case study</b>			<b>14</b>
B1	→ content			9
B1a		<i>depth of the analysis</i>	Your case study reflects a deep understanding and a mature analysis of the topic.	7
B1b		<i>critical thinking</i>	Your content shows your ability to be a critical thinker who knows how to be nuanced and bring valid arguments.	7
B1c		<i>richness of the content</i>	Your case study covers various aspects of the topic, nothing is left behind or the limitations are well explained.	2
B1d		<i>structuration of the content</i>	Your project is clearly organized for easy reading / viewing / using / listening.	2
B1e		<i>conceptual clarity</i>	All concepts addressed through the project are clearly presented.	7
B1f		<i>collection and use of original or relevant data</i>	You gathered relevant data to address your topic.	7
B1g		<i>quality of the bibliography</i>	You gathered relevant knowledge to address your topic.	7



# Evaluation criteria grid

#	Part	Criteria	Description	Marks
B2	→ format			5
B2a		<i>rigorous presentation of ideas</i>	Your ideas are clearly presented, you use the right words in the right context and in the right order.	7
B2b		<i>style</i>	Your writing is clear, precise, concise, and makes your content understandable.	7
B2c		<i>formatting rules (text, citations, bibliography...)</i>	Your content respects the usual academic formatting rules.	7
B2d		<i>spelling, syntax, writing quality</i>	Your content does not contain any spelling or grammatical errors.	7
B2e		<i>format relevance</i>	The format chosen is relevant to the content of the project.	7
<b>TOTAL</b>				<b>20</b>

See <https://seminarofdigitaldemocracy.net/evaluation/>





# “Blockchain, web3 and decentralized governance”

— *Discussion*





# Topics



# Questions for debate

- Who has invested in cryptocurrency? Who is following what is happening in the cryptogame?
- What is a blockchain? What is it used for?
- What is the web3?
- What does decentralized governance mean?
- Who governs?
- What are the democratic challenges of the web3?
- How to keep everyone onboard?
- What connections can you make between cryptocurrencies and 'local currencies'?
- Does blockchain technology lead to a world of pseudonymity? How do you keep the connection between real people and public keys?
- What can we learn from blockchain governance for 'state' / society governance?
- What's next for web3?
- How do you see things in 5-10 years regarding blockchain technology and how societies are governed?
- ...



# Interesting resources

- [The Satoshi Mystery - Sciences | ARTE in English](#)
- [Meet Santiago Siri, the Man With a Radical Plan for Blockchain Voting | WIRED](#)
- [Why the Blockchain Could Transform the Face of Digital Democracy](#)
- [GitHub - DemocracyEarth/paper: On self sovereign human identity.](#)
- [Who Watches the Watchmen? A Review of Subjective Approaches for Sybil-resistance in Proof of Personhood Protocols](#)



---

*"The best weapon of dictatorship is secrecy; the best weapon of a democracy should be the weapon of openness."*

*— Niels Bohr*

---



**Enjoy the holiday season!**

